

Audio/Visual Systems for Justice Center/Public Safety Expansion
Catawba County - RFP 10-1014
July 12, 2016
ADDENDUM EIGHT

1. Regarding the Barco CMS video processing platform, please confirm whether the Barco Auto Failover (AFO) option is required to promote high availability of the system?

Response: We understand the cost for this level of redundancy and request you provide pricing for this an alternate.

2. As an IPTV system is requested within the RFP, please confirm requirements for the CATV symbols located throughout the floor plans.

Response: For each location that shows a CATV symbol, price the cost of a decoder – IPTV is required and AV vendor should price all CATV locations with the appropriate decoder – see Addendum 3

3. Regarding the drawings, which order of drawings takes precedence? Many of the drawings contradict each other relative to floor plans and functional drawings and between functional drawings themselves. For example, Drawings TA601 and TA602 shows a video processing approach using matrix switchers and monolithic video wall processors whereas TA601A-TA601C show a network-based video processing approach. Please clarify.

Response: The AV vendor should describe and price an IP based system supporting both the EOC and ECC on the same system. TA601 and TA602 should be deleted; TA602A shows an IP based system. Network based video processing is what we are asking for.

4. Drawing TA400B1 references a Cisco SX80 CODEC as part of the Typical Courtroom Audio Configuration. This device does not appear on Drawing TA400B2 for the Typical Courtroom Video Configuration. Please advise.

Response: Cisco SX80 Codec will be added to drawing TA400B2.

5. Drawing TA400B1 references a Crestron DM Switcher as part of the Typical Courtroom Audio Configuration. This switcher does not appear on Drawing TA400B2 for the Typical Courtroom Video Configuration. Please advise.

Response: Crestron DM Switcher to be added to drawing TA400B2.

6. Drawing TA101B shows all connectivity in Jury Deliberation 1052 (and others) call out the design as an Alternate. Is this connectivity to be included in the base bid? If not, please identify base bid vs. alternates and options.

Response: This connectivity is to be included in the base bid.

7. Please confirm any prevailing wage requirement for the project.

Response: Prevailing wage does not apply to this project.

8. The AutoCAD floor plans distributed with Addendum No. 2 dated June 17, 2016 show three (3) courtrooms, 1043, 2012, and 2056. Drawing TA102B dated April 10, 2016 shows two (2) additional Courtrooms 2060 and 2074. Please confirm quantity and room numbers of courtrooms that should be included with the RFP response.

Response: Refer to TA102B R57 drawing dated 7/7/16.

9. The RFP (page 6) for EOC Operations indicates an annotation device and document camera at the lectern. Drawing TA601A does not show either of these devices. Please advise.

Response: Both of these devices are required and will be added to TA601A.

10. The RFP (page 6) for EOC Audio Systems references an Assisted Listening System. Drawing TA602A does not show this device. Please advise.

Response: Assisted listening system for EOC and Press Room should be removed.

11. The RFP (pages 6 and 7) for the Press Room references a full multimedia system with video camera, annotation, document camera, audio playback, etc. Drawings TA601C and TA602A only show a laptop source, a projector, and a desktop microphone. Please clarify requirements for this space.

Response: Is required and will be added to drawings.

12. The RFP (pages 7 and 8) for the EOC Coordinator, Director, Fire Marshall, and Deputy EMS Manager and EMS Training Officer reference an AV system inconsistent with the TA series drawings. Please clarify requirements for these spaces.

Response: The drawings take precedence – we will provide room numbers in next addendum.

13. The RFP (page 8) for the Emergency Call Center references viewing of building surveillance video. Please clarify this requirement and indicate how the building surveillance video is to be viewed (e.g., direct IP security cameras, VMS workstations, etc.).

Response: Cameras are IP based and can be viewed on the county network.

14. The RFP (page 9) references an audio-visual and videoconferencing system for the Commissioner Board Room. There is no functional drawing for the Commissioner Board Room. Please clarify requirements for this space.

Response: Video conferencing is not being purchased at this time. The most recent drawing is found on the drawings dated 7/7/16.

15. Please provide relevance and requirements of scope associated with Drawing TA504. This drawing references a phone system that is not otherwise referenced or described in the RFP narrative or drawings.

Response: TA504 was deleted from latest drawing dated 7/7/16

16. Addendum No 3 deleted the legacy Visualization and Data Collaboration description from Specification 274100. Please confirm whether this description should also be deleted from the RFP (pages 10-13).

Response: Deletion confirmed.

17. Based off of the supplied drawings, please confirm that the following rooms either DO NOT receive a flat panel display or the flat panel display will be Owner-furnished and Owner-installed:

- Veteran's Services Officer- Room 1213 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Emergency Services Coordinator- 1247 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Multipurpose Room- 1263 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Multipurpose Room- 1264 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Kitchen + Break room- 1271 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Conference- 1050 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Jury Deliberation- 1052 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Judge- 1054 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Break room- 1083 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Clerk's Office- 1093 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Hearing Room- 1097 - AV Contractor does not provide
- District Attorney- 2121 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Conference Room- 2128 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Break room- 2147 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Admin. Assistant- 2148 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Admin.- 2149 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Jury Deliberation- 2023 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Jury Deliberation- 2026 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Visiting Judge- 2031 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Judge- 2032 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Judge- 2034 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Visiting Judge- 2038 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Visiting Judge- 2047 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Visiting Judge- 2048 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Jury Deliberation- 2077 - AV Contractor does not provide

- Jury Deliberation- 2079 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Deputy District Attorney- 2107 - AV Contractor does not provide
- Child Victim Waiting- 2112 - AV Contractor does not provide

18. Referencing the digital signage system, how many different channels of content shall be considered.

Response: Five channels

19. Please confirm that the GC only installed one (1) network connection at each panel (referencing RFI Response 39 in Addendum No. 5) and not two (2) as noted in Detail 1/TA501A.

Response: Drawing TA501A to be corrected, only (1) connection provided by the General Contractor.

20. Referencing Detail 3/TA501, please provide the blocking method used by the GC when installing the blocking for all flat panel displays.

Response: The Contractor used $\frac{3}{4}$ " plywood.

21. Referencing RFI Response No. 54 in Addendum No. 5, please confirm the Revolabs Elite microphone system shown on Drawing TA602A is no longer required.

Response: Confirmed

22. Referencing TA102A and Training 2163 and Meeting Room 2142, please provide AV requirements of these rooms as they do not appear to be detailed on the drawings.

Response: Please refer to drawings dated 7/7/16.

23. Based on the collective RFIs issued and the forthcoming updated full set of drawings for this project, a bid extension of 2 weeks is requested. Thank you.

Response: The bid has been extension to 2:00 p.m., July 21, the last day for questions is 5:00 p.m., July 13, 2016.

24. Is the 2nd Floor Meeting Room (Commissioner Board Room) described on pages 9 and 10 of the RFP intended to go in room 2009 on the 2nd floor just south of the Large Court Room?

Response: Yes – see drawings dated 7/7/16.

25. If 2009 is the location of the room, where is the AV equipment to be housed?

Response: Housed in $\frac{1}{2}$ rack in 2010A shown on drawing TA102C1 dated 7/7/16.

26. What is the seating and layout to be in this room?

Response: See drawing in TA102C1 dated 7/7/16.

27. Will there be provision in the furniture (Holes cut in the Conference Table) for AV equipment (Microphones, wired auxiliary AV connections, etc.)?

Response: Provisions will be made by the Owner via the furniture provider.

28. The RFP describes a VTC Codec. Is there still intent to utilize a "Hard" Codec in this room or has the county switched to a "Soft" Codec be acceptable, i.e. Skype for Business, Google Hangouts, Lync? Or does the county need provision for both?

Response: The VTC Codec is not required.

29. Does the County require microphones for Audio Reinforcement, or solely for conferencing purposes?

Response: Yes, audio reinforcement is required.

30. Will this room require BYOD capabilities as well?

Response: Yes; in the lectern.